

An introduction to p -adic systems: A new kind of number system

Mario Weitzer

Graz University of Technology, Austria

Advanced topics in discrete mathematics

Graz, October 13, 2017

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively:

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: 17

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively:

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: 17

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2 \xrightarrow{T_2} 1$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2 \xrightarrow{T_2} 1 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2 \xrightarrow{T_2} 1 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2 \xrightarrow{T_2} 1 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0 \xrightarrow{T_2} \dots$

Let $T_C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_C iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_C} 26 \xrightarrow{T_C} 13 \xrightarrow{T_C} 20 \xrightarrow{T_C} 10 \xrightarrow{T_C} 5 \xrightarrow{T_C} 8$
 $\xrightarrow{T_C} 4 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} 2 \xrightarrow{T_C} 1 \xrightarrow{T_C} \dots$

Collatz conjecture: All orbits of T_C end up in the cycle (1, 2)

Tested up to 2^{60} , open for 80 years

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Extremely hard!**

Cf.: $T_2 : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Apply T_2 iteratively: $17 \xrightarrow{T_2} 8 \xrightarrow{T_2} 4 \xrightarrow{T_2} 2 \xrightarrow{T_2} 1 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0 \xrightarrow{T_2} 0 \xrightarrow{T_2} \dots$

Question for ultimate behaviour: **Trivial!**

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N}

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N} :

Give “name” to every element

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N} :

Give “name” to every element:

Infinite string over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (orbit modulo 2)

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N} :

Give “name” to every element:

Infinite string over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (orbit modulo 2)

“Name” of 17 w.r.t. T_2 : usual base 2 expansion

$(17, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0, \dots) \% 2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N} :

Give “name” to every element:

Infinite string over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (orbit modulo 2)

“Name” of 17 w.r.t. T_2 : usual base 2 expansion

$$(17, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0, \dots) \% 2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$$

“Name” of 17 w.r.t. T_C :

$$(17, 26, 13, 20, 10, 5, 8, 4, 2, 1, \dots) \% 2 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, \dots)$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both define a “number system” on \mathbb{N} :

Give “name” to every element:

Infinite string over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (orbit modulo 2)

“Name” of 17 w.r.t. T_2 : usual base 2 expansion

$$(17, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0, \dots) \% 2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$$

“Name” of 17 w.r.t. T_C :

$$(17, 26, 13, 20, 10, 5, 8, 4, 2, 1, \dots) \% 2 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, \dots)$$

Notation: $S(T_C)[17] = (17, 26, 13, 20, \dots)$: T_C -sequence of 17

$D(T_C)[17] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \dots)$: T_C -(digit) expansion of 17

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Tables of sequences:

1	1	0	0	0	...
2	2	1	0	0	...
3	3	1	0	0	...
4	4	2	1	0	...
5	5	2	1	0	...
6	6	3	1	0	...
7	7	3	1	0	...
8	8	4	2	1	...
9	9	4	2	1	...
10	10	5	2	1	...
11	11	5	2	1	...
12	12	6	3	1	...
13	13	6	3	1	...
14	14	7	3	1	...
15	15	7	3	1	...
16	16	8	4	2	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$S(T_2)$	0	1	2	3	...

1	1	2	1	2	...
2	2	1	2	1	...
3	3	5	8	4	...
4	4	2	1	2	...
5	5	8	4	2	...
6	6	3	5	8	...
7	7	11	17	26	...
8	8	4	2	1	...
9	9	14	7	11	...
10	10	5	8	4	...
11	11	17	26	13	...
12	12	6	3	5	...
13	13	20	10	5	...
14	14	7	11	17	...
15	15	23	35	53	...
16	16	8	4	2	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$S(T_C)$	0	1	2	3	...

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Tables of expansions:

1	1	0	0	0	...
2	0	1	0	0	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	1	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	0	1	...
10	0	1	0	1	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	1	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_2)$	0	1	2	3	...

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	1	1	...
10	0	1	0	0	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	0	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_C)$	0	1	2	3	...

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Tables of expansions:

1	1	0	0	0	...
2	0	1	0	0	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	1	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	0	1	...
10	0	1	0	1	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	1	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_2)$	0	1	2	3	...

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	1	1	...
10	0	1	0	0	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	0	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_C)$	0	1	2	3	...

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Tables of expansions:

1	1	0	0	0	...
2	0	1	0	0	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	1	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	0	1	...
10	0	1	0	1	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	1	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_2)$	0	1	2	3	...

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
9	1	0	1	1	...
10	0	1	0	0	...
11	1	1	0	1	...
12	0	0	1	1	...
13	1	0	0	1	...
14	0	1	1	1	...
15	1	1	1	1	...
16	0	0	0	0	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T_C)$	0	1	2	3	...

First k digits of expansions of m and n coincide $\Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{2^k}$

(Block property)

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}

10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}

Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$

10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: 189.25619... $= \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: ...39227.493 $= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} \dots 39227.493 \\ + \dots 25693.122 \\ \hline \dots 64920.615 \end{array}$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} \dots 39227.493 \\ + \dots 25693.122 \\ \hline \dots 64920.615 \end{array}$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} \dots 39227.493 \\ + \dots 25693.122 \\ \hline \dots 64920.615 \end{array}$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} \dots 39227.493 \\ + \dots 25693.122 \\ \hline \dots 64920.615 \end{array}$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} 189.15 \\ + 301.57 \\ \hline 490.72 \end{array}$	Add., mult.: as in school $\begin{array}{r} \dots 39227.493 \\ + \dots 25693.122 \\ \hline \dots 64920.615 \end{array}$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: $"\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}"$	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: $"\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}"$	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: $"\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}"$	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ $\underline{301.57}$ 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\underline{\dots 25693.122}$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: $"\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}"$	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ $\underline{301.57}$ 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\underline{\dots 25693.122}$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{10}$: $8571429 \cdot 7 = 3 \Rightarrow 3/7 \in \mathbb{Q}_{10}$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{10}$: $8571429 \cdot 7 = 3 \Rightarrow 3/7 \in \mathbb{Q}_{10}$ $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$: $\bar{9}83 + 17 = 0 \Rightarrow -17 \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{10}$: $8571429 \cdot 7 = 3 \Rightarrow 3/7 \in \mathbb{Q}_{10}$ $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$: $983 + 17 = 0 \Rightarrow -17 \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ $\{n/d \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \gcd(d, 10) = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{10}$: $8571429 \cdot 7 = 3 \Rightarrow 3/7 \in \mathbb{Q}_{10}$ $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$: $983 + 17 = 0 \Rightarrow -17 \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ $\{n/d \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \gcd(d, 10) = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$
Completion of \mathbb{Q} w.r.t. $ \cdot $	

A crash course in p -adic numbers

p -adic numbers: the good/evil/equally likeable (?) twin of real numbers

Real numbers \mathbb{R}	10-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_{10}
Finitely many digits left of the decimal point: $189.25619\dots = \pm \sum_{i=-\infty}^k d_i 10^i$	Finitely many digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227.493 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i 10^i$
Representation "almost unique": $14.27999\dots = 14.28000\dots$	Representation completely unique: $a = b \Leftrightarrow$ all digits of a and b are equal
Add., mult.: as in school $189.15 +$ 301.57 490.72	Add., mult.: as in school $\dots 39227.493 +$ $\dots 25693.122$ $\dots 64920.615$
$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$: field	$(\mathbb{Q}_{10}, +, \cdot)$: not a field (but $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is, if p is prime)
Doesn't depend on base: " $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{60}$ "	Does depend on base: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_3 \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}_{10} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_5$
"Real integers" \mathbb{Z} : No digits right of the decimal point: 189	10-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_{10} : No digits right of the decimal point: $\dots 39227$
$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{10}$: $8571429 \cdot 7 = 3 \Rightarrow 3/7 \in \mathbb{Q}_{10}$ $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$: $983 + 17 = 0 \Rightarrow -17 \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ $\{n/d \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \gcd(d, 10) = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$
Completion of \mathbb{Q} w.r.t. $ \cdot $	Completion of \mathbb{Q} w.r.t. $\ \cdot\ _{10}$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7)$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) \\ = \dots 0100101$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} \\ = \dots 0100101$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} \\ = \dots 0100101$$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7$$

= ...0100101

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

$= \dots 0100101$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

p -fibred function

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

p -fibred function: • piecewise function on \mathbb{Z}_p

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

- p -fibred function:
- piecewise function on \mathbb{Z}_p
 - branches for all residue classes mod p

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad \left(= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd} \right)$$

= ...0100101

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

p -fibred function: • piecewise function on \mathbb{Z}_p
 • branches for all residue classes mod p

p -adic system: • block property

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad (= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd})$$

$$= \dots 0100101$$

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

p -fibred function: • piecewise function on \mathbb{Z}_p
 • branches for all residue classes mod p

p -adic system: • block property

Notation: $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$, $T_2 = (x, x - 1) = (x, x)$

What do T_C and T_2 have in common?

Both generalize to the 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$T_C : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$T_C(3/7) = T_C(\overline{0101}) = \frac{11 \cdot \overline{0101} + 1}{10} = \overline{0111000} = 8/7 \quad (= \frac{3 \cdot 3/7 + 1}{2}, \text{ point: } 3/7 \text{ is odd})$$

$$= \dots 0100101$$

Block property still holds

Both are piecewise functions with branches for both residue classes mod 2

Definition

p -fibred function: • piecewise function on \mathbb{Z}_p
 • branches for all residue classes mod p

p -adic system: • block property

Notation: $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$, $T_2 = (x, x - 1) = (x, x)$

Subtract LSD before division by p if necessary: $(x, x, x)(5) = \frac{5-x}{3} = 1$

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs

1		1	0	1	0	...
2		0	1	0	1	...
3		1	1	0	0	...
4		0	0	1	0	...
5		1	0	0	0	...
6		0	1	1	0	...
7		1	1	1	0	...
8		0	0	0	1	...
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T)$		0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:

1		1	0	1	0	...
2		0	1	0	1	...
3		1	1	0	0	...
4		0	0	1	0	...
5		1	0	0	0	...
6		0	1	1	0	...
7		1	1	1	0	...
8		0	0	0	1	...
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T)$		0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)

1		1	0	1	0	...
2		0	1	0	1	...
3		1	1	0	0	...
4		0	0	1	0	...
5		1	0	0	0	...
6		0	1	1	0	...
7		1	1	1	0	...
8		0	0	0	1	...
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T)$		0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with
 - $D[n][0] = n \% p$

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with
 - $D[n][0] = n \% p$
 - $D[m][0, k-1] = D[n][0, k-1] \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$ (block property),

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with
 - $D[n][0] = n \% p$
 - $D[m][0, k-1] = D[n][0, k-1] \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$ (block property),

then $D = D(T)$ for a “unique” p -adic system T

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with
 - $D[n][0] = n \% p$
 - $D[m][0, k-1] = D[n][0, k-1] \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$ (block property),

then $D = D(T)$ for a “unique” p -adic system T

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

$$\longrightarrow T(6) = 3 \longrightarrow T[0](6) \in \underbrace{\{6, 7\}}_{\text{remember: } (x, x-1) = (x, x)}$$

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -adic system ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

- $D(T)$ defines a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_p and $\{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$:
the expansions of all p -adic integers are unique and every possible expansion occurs
- $D(T)$ is uniquely determined by the expansions of the natural numbers:
 $D(T)(n)[0, k-1] = D(T)(n \% p^k)[0, k-1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{N} dense in \mathbb{Z}_p)
- The sets of “ p -digit tables” and p -adic systems are in one-to-one correspondence:
If $D \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ with
 - $D[n][0] = n \% p$
 - $D[m][0, k-1] = D[n][0, k-1] \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$ (block property),

then $D = D(T)$ for a “unique” p -adic system T

1	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	...
3	1	1	0	0	...
4	0	0	1	0	...
5	1	0	0	0	...
6	0	1	1	0	...
7	1	1	1	0	...
8	0	0	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
D	0	1	2	3	...

$$\longrightarrow T(6) = 3 \longrightarrow T[0](6) \in \underbrace{\{6, 7\}}_{\text{remember: } (x, x-1) = (x, x)}$$

- One can define a group structure on the set of all p -adic systems

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

$$T[r](m) \equiv T[r](n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for all } k, m, n$$

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

$$T[r](m) \equiv T[r](n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for all } k, m, n$$

Special case: If $T[r] \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a **polynomial**, then

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

$$T[r](m) \equiv T[r](n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for all } k, m, n$$

Special case: If $T[r] \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a polynomial, then

$$T[r] \text{ is } (p, r)\text{-suitable} \Leftrightarrow \gcd(p, T[r]'(r)) = 1$$

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

$$T[r](m) \equiv T[r](n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for all } k, m, n$$

Special case: If $T[r] \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a polynomial, then

$$T[r] \text{ is } (p, r)\text{-suitable} \Leftrightarrow \gcd(p, T[r]'(r)) = 1$$

One can always make $T[r]$ (p, r) -suitable by
only changing the linear coefficient

Basic properties of p -adic systems

Do they exist?

Let $T = (T[0], \dots, T[p-1])$ be a p -fibred function ($T[r] : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$)

W.l.o.g.: $T[r](n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (remember: $(x, x-1) = (x, x)$)

T is a p -adic system if and only if $T[r]$ is (p, r) -suitable for all $0 \leq r < p$:

$$T[r](m) \equiv T[r](n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for all } k, m, n$$

Special case: If $T[r] \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a polynomial, then

$$T[r] \text{ is } (p, r)\text{-suitable} \Leftrightarrow \gcd(p, T[r]'(r)) = 1$$

One can always make $T[r]$ (p, r) -suitable by
only changing the linear coefficient

Consequence: Yes, many exist!

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$

\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
1	1	2	1	\dots
2	2	1	2	\dots
3	3	5	8	\dots
4	4	2	1	\dots
5	5	8	4	\dots
6	6	3	5	\dots
7	7	11	17	\dots
8	8	4	2	\dots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
$S(T_C)$	0	1	2	\dots

\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
1	1	0	1	\dots
2	0	1	0	\dots
3	1	1	0	\dots
4	0	0	1	\dots
5	1	0	0	\dots
6	0	1	1	\dots
7	1	1	1	\dots
8	0	0	0	\dots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
$D(T_C)$	0	1	2	\dots

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
- $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$

⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
1	1	34/11	64805/2541	⋯	⋯
2	2	227/21	2053/231	⋯	⋯
3	3	47/11	608/121	⋯	⋯
4	4	880/21	12621386/3087	⋯	⋯
5	5	60/11	64376/847	⋯	⋯
6	6	639/7	4346/77	⋯	⋯
7	7	73/11	777/121	⋯	⋯
8	8	3338/21	59723107/1029	⋯	⋯
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$S(T)$	0	1	2	⋯	⋯

⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
1	1	0	1	⋯	⋯
2	0	1	1	⋯	⋯
3	1	1	0	⋯	⋯
4	0	0	0	⋯	⋯
5	1	0	0	⋯	⋯
6	0	1	0	⋯	⋯
7	1	1	1	⋯	⋯
8	0	0	1	⋯	⋯
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$D(T)$	0	1	2	⋯	⋯

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
- $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$
- $(ix^2 - 6x + 5i, x, x, x, x)$ where $i^2 = -1$, i.e. $i = \dots 31212$ or $i = \dots 13233$

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
- $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$
- $(ix^2 - 6x + 5i, x, x, x, x)$ where $i^2 = -1$, i.e. $i = \dots 31212$ or $i = \dots 13233$
- If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a p -permutation polynomial ($P: \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ bijective for all k)

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
- $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$
- $(ix^2 - 6x + 5i, x, x, x, x)$ where $i^2 = -1$, i.e. $i = \dots 31212$ or $i = \dots 13233$
- If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a p -permutation polynomial ($P: \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ bijective for all k) and $P: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the identity function,

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
- $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$
- $(ix^2 - 6x + 5i, x, x, x, x)$ where $i^2 = -1$, i.e. $i = \dots 31212$ or $i = \dots 13233$
- If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a p -permutation polynomial ($P: \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ bijective for all k) and $P: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the identity function, then $D(P) := (D(T_P)[P(n)])_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$ defines a digit-table and thus a p -adic system

More examples of p -adic system

- $T_n = (x, \dots, x)$, $T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$
 - $(7x^3 - 4x^2 + x - 6, 3x^7 - x + 1, x^2 + 6x + 2)$, $(\frac{32}{7}x^2 + \frac{11}{3}x - 4, \frac{13}{11}x + 5)$
 - $(ix^2 - 6x + 5i, x, x, x, x)$ where $i^2 = -1$, i.e. $i = \dots 31212$ or $i = \dots 13233$
 - If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a p -permutation polynomial ($P: \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ bijective for all k) and $P: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the identity function, then $D(P) := (D(T_P)[P(n)])_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$ defines a digit-table and thus a p -adic system
- Example: $P(x) = 10x^2 - 3x + 4$ is a 2-permutation polynomial

\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\dots
1	11	1	1	1	0	\dots
2	38	2	0	1	1	\dots
3	85	3	1	0	1	\dots
4	152	4	0	0	0	\dots
5	239	5	1	1	1	\dots
6	346	6	0	1	0	\dots
7	473	7	1	0	0	\dots
8	620	8	0	0	1	\dots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\dots
P		$D(P)$	0	1	2	\dots

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every ultimately periodic digit expansion represents a rational number

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every **ultimately periodic** digit expansion represents a **rational number**

The rational number can be **effectively computed** from a given expansion

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every ultimately periodic digit expansion represents a rational number

The rational number can be effectively computed from a given expansion

$$(1, 0, 0, 1) \longrightarrow (11/7, 20/7, 10/7, 5/7)$$

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every **ultimately periodic** digit expansion represents a **rational number**

The rational number can be **effectively computed** from a given expansion

$$(1, 0, 0, 1) \longrightarrow (11/7, 20/7, 10/7, 5/7)$$

Open questions

- Do expansions of the integers admit other periods?

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every ultimately periodic digit expansion represents a rational number

The rational number can be effectively computed from a given expansion

$$(1, 0, 0, 1) \longrightarrow (11/7, 20/7, 10/7, 5/7)$$

Open questions

- Do expansions of the integers admit other periods?
- Are there rational numbers that have aperiodic expansions?

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every ultimately periodic digit expansion represents a rational number

The rational number can be effectively computed from a given expansion

$$(1, 0, 0, 1) \longrightarrow (11/7, 20/7, 10/7, 5/7)$$

Open questions

- Do expansions of the integers admit other periods?
- Are there rational numbers that have aperiodic expansions?
- Interesting example: $D((5x + 2, 5x + 1))[n]$ aperiodic for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (expanding on \mathbb{Z})

What about the Collatz conjecture?

$$T_C = (x, 3x + 1)$$

Known periods on \mathbb{Z}

Digit period ($D(T_C)$)	Sequence period ($S(T_C)$)
0	0
1, 0	1, 2
1	-1
1, 1, 0	-5, -7, -10
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0	-17, -25, -37, -55, -82, -41, -61, -91, -136, -68, -34

Periods on \mathbb{Q}

Every **ultimately periodic** digit expansion represents a **rational number**

The rational number can be **effectively computed** from a given expansion

$$(1, 0, 0, 1) \longrightarrow (11/7, 20/7, 10/7, 5/7)$$

Open questions

- Do expansions of the integers admit other periods?
- Are there rational numbers that have aperiodic expansions?
- Interesting example: $D((5x + 2, 5x + 1))[n]$ aperiodic for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (expanding on \mathbb{Z})

Note: $S(F)[n]$ **ultimately periodic** \Leftrightarrow $D(F)[n]$ **ultimately periodic**

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$
 - Expansions of integers admit only finitely many different periods

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$
 - Expansions of integers admit only finitely many different periods

For $p = 2$:

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$
 - Expansions of integers admit only finitely many different periods

For $p = 2$:

$$\bullet D(a_0 + xb_0, a_1 + xb_1)[n] = D(0 + xb_0, 1 + xb_1)\left[\frac{n(b_0-2)+a_0}{a_1(b_0-2)-a_0(b_1-2)}\right] \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$
 - Expansions of integers admit only finitely many different periods

For $p = 2$:

$$\bullet D(a_0 + xb_0, a_1 + xb_1)[n] = D(0 + xb_0, 1 + xb_1)\left[\frac{n(b_0-2)+a_0}{a_1(b_0-2)-a_0(b_1-2)}\right] \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

In particular: Whether or not all rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions does not depend on constant coefficients a_i

More on p -adic systems

- There is a p -adic system for which all rational numbers (in \mathbb{Z}_p) have finite expansions
- There is a p -adic system for which the natural numbers have ultimately periodic expansions with pairwise different periods

(Things get arbitrarily neat or nasty)

For p -adic systems defined by linear polynomials with integer coefficients:

$$T = (a_0 + b_0x, \dots, a_{p-1} + b_{p-1}x), \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Every ultimately periodic expansion comes from a rational number
- **Conjectures:**
 - All rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions $\Leftrightarrow b_0 \cdots b_{p-1} < p^p$
 - Expansions of integers admit only finitely many different periods

For $p = 2$:

$$\bullet D(a_0 + xb_0, a_1 + xb_1)[n] = D(0 + xb_0, 1 + xb_1)\left[\frac{n(b_0-2)+a_0}{a_1(b_0-2)-a_0(b_1-2)}\right] \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

In particular: Whether or not all rational numbers have ultimately periodic expansions does not depend on constant coefficients a_i

Thank you!

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$,

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a **unique root** $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$
 T is a p -adic system

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z)$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p = (f(z) + pz)/p$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p = (f(z) + pz)/p$, hence $f(z) = 0 \square$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p = (f(z) + pz)/p$, hence $f(z) = 0 \square$

Corollary: If $P \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ with $P(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\gcd(p, P'(r)) = 1$, then P has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p = (f(z) + pz)/p$, hence $f(z) = 0 \square$

Corollary: If $P \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ with $P(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\gcd(p, P'(r)) = 1$, then P has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ (Hensel's Lemma!)

Extra: a nice application

Lemma: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable, then so is $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, x \mapsto f(x) + px$

Remember: f (p, r) -suitable $\stackrel{\text{Def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (f(m) \equiv f(n) \pmod{p^k} \Leftrightarrow m \equiv n \pmod{p^k})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x, \dots, x, \underbrace{f(x)}_{r\text{-th position}}, x, \dots, x)$ is a p -adic system

Theorem: If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is (p, r) -suitable and $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $n \equiv r \pmod{p}$, then f has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$

Proof: Let $T := (x, \dots, x, f(x) + px, x, \dots, x)$

T is a p -adic system

There is a unique $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ such that $D(T)[z] = (r, r, r, \dots)$.

So, $z = T(z) = T[r](z)/p = (f(z) + pz)/p$, hence $f(z) = 0 \square$

Corollary: If $P \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ with $P(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\gcd(p, P'(r)) = 1$, then P has a unique root $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $z \equiv r \pmod{p}$ (Hensel's Lemma!)

Thank you! (really this time)